# **Rules and Regulations**

Federal Register

Vol. 57, No. 208

Tuesday, October 27, 1992

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

#### **OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS**

#### 5 CFR Part 2635

RIN 3209-AA04

Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch; Correction

**AGENCY:** Office of Government Ethics. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

summary: This document corrects five minor typographical errors in the regulatory text of the final rule on executive agency ethics training programs, which was published by the Office of Government Ethics on Friday, August 7, 1992 (57 FR 35006-35067).

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 7, 1992.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William E. Gressman, Office of Government Ethics, Suite 500, 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20005–3917, telephone/FTS (202) 523– 5757, FAX (202) 523–6325.

Approved: October 20, 1992. Stephen D. Potts.

Director, Office of Government Ethics.

Accordingly, the Office of Government Ethics is correcting the August 7, 1992 publication of the final rule on Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, which was the subject of FR Doc. 92– 16070, as follows:

# § 2635.202 [Corrected]

1. On page 35045 of the regulatory text, in the first column, in paragraph (c)(4)(iii) of § 2635.202, in the last line of the paragraph, the final punctuation mark "." is corrected to read "; or".

#### § 2635.204 [Corrected]

2. On page 35049 of the regulatory text, in the first column, in paragraph (i)(1) of \$ 2635.204, in the last line of the

paragraph, the final punctuation mark "." is corrected to read ";".

#### § 2635.801 [Corrected]

3. On page 35062 of the regulatory text, in the first column, in paragraph (d)(6) of § 2635.801, in the last line of the paragraph, correct the abbreviation "seq" by adding a "." at the end and before the final punctuation mark ";".

#### § 2635.807 [Corrected]

4. On page 35063 of the regulatory text, in the second column, in the introductory text of paragraph (a) of \$ 2635.807, in the third line of the paragraph, the word "the" is corrected to read "this".

#### § 2635.808 [Corrected]

5. On page 35066 of the regulatory text, in the second column, in paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of § 2635.808, in the last line of the paragraph, the final punctuation mark "." is corrected to read ";".

[FR Doc. 92-25875 Filed 10-28-92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6345-01-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

## **Agricultural Marketing Service**

## **7 CFR Part 915**

[Docket No. FV-92-002FR]

## Avocados Grown in South Florida; Finalize Maturity Requirement Revisions

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Agriculture (Department) is adopting as a final rule, with appropriate corrections, an interim final rule which revised maturity requirements in effect on a continuous basis for avocados grown in Florida. The interim final rule made calendar date adjustments in the shipping schedules for varieties of avocados to synchronize them with the 1992 and 1993 calendar years. The maturity requirements are designed to ensure that only mature fruit is shipped to the fresh market, thereby improving grower returns and promoting orderly marketing conditions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 27, 1992. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary D. Rasmussen, Marketing Specialist, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2525–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456; telephone: (202) 720– 5331.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule is issued under the Marketing Agreement and Marketing Order No. 915, both as amended [7 CFR part 915], regulating the handling of avocados grown in South Florida. The agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended [7 U.S.C. 601-674], hereinafter referred to as the Act.

This final rule has been reviewed by the Department in accordance with Departmental Regulation 1512–1 and the criteria contained in Executive Order 12291 and has been determined to be a "non-major" rule.

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. This action is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any state or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and requesting a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after date of the entry of the ruling.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of